



Philip J. Bergman
DVM, MS, PhD; Diplomate
ACVIM, Oncology Director,
Clinical Studies – VCA
Antech Oncologist

Katonah-Bedford Veterinary
Center

Philip.Bergman@vca.com

LYMPHOMA: ANYTHING NEW?

General Information

Lymphoma (LSA) is the most common tumor of the cat and represents approximately 80-90% of hematopoietic tumors in cats. LSA is the third most common tumor in the dog with an estimated annual incidence of 13-24/100,000 dogs at risk. The mean age of cats diagnosed with LSA over 10-15 years ago was 2-5 years of age, however, recent reports suggest the mean age of cats diagnosed with LSA is now 8-12 years. The mean age of dogs afflicted with LSA remains stable at 6-9 years of age, however, the range of age in dogs can be as short as weeks to months. The most common site of LSA diagnosis in cats from over 10-15 years ago was mediastinal and/or multicentric, whereas recent reports suggest the most common site presently is alimentary. Why has there been such a significant change over the years??

Much of this sea-change in age of onset and location for cats with LSA can be attributed to changes in feline leukemia virus (FeLV). FeLV was the most common cause of hematopoietic tumors in cats, and these cats generally had T-cell mediastinal LSA. B cell alimentary LSA in cats is usually seen in older FeLV negative cats, and this is by far the most common presentation for cats presently. Some oncologists believe that all cats with LSA are FeLV positive. This author disagrees with this statement, as specific viruses have never been found to be responsible for all types of LSA in other species, and evidence for strong associations with certain herbicides (e.g. 2,4-D) continues to accumulate in people. Some oncologists believe that the rise in alimentary LSA seen recently is due to a decreased incidence of FeLV with a concomitant increase in food-related carcinogens, though no scientific evidence for the latter is available.

Lymphoma Categorization & Classification

Dogs & cats with LSA are generally categorized based on anatomic and histologic classifications. The five major anatomical sites are alimentary, mediastinal, multicentric, leukemia and extra-nodal (CNS, cutaneous, other). Though there are a number of histologic classification systems available, the NIH Working Formulation has been the system most widely adopted by histopathologists. This system generally suggests that approximately 10%, 30% and 60% of dogs and cats with LSA have low, intermediate and high-grade tumors, respectively.

History & Clinical Signs

The history and clinical signs of dogs & cats with LSA are extremely variable and dependent on the extent of disease and anatomic location. For example, cats with alimentary LSA usually present for anorexia/weight loss, vomiting, diarrhea and an abdominal mass, whereas cats with mediastinal LSA usually present for tachypnea, dyspnea and vomiting/regurgitation. Many dogs with multicentric LSA present for abnormal lumps being found by the owner or groomer, or on routine physical examination by a veterinarian

Diagnosis

The diagnostic evaluation of dogs & cats with a suspicious diagnosis of LSA should include a full physical examination, bloodwork (CBC/platelet/biochemistry profile), retroviral testing in cats (FeLV/FIV) and urinalysis. Additional staging diagnostics may include abdominal radiography and/or ultrasonography, chest radiography and bone marrow aspiration/cytology. Additional tests may be necessary depending on the anatomic location of the LSA (e.g. mediastinal aspirate for mediastinal mass). Caution is noted for NOT making the diagnosis of multicentric LSA off of fine needle aspiration and cytology specifically in cats due to the common syndrome of non-neoplastic retroviral-associated lymphadenopathy. Similarly, the diagnosis of LSA should not be made cytologically with fine needle aspirates of the mandibular lymph nodes in dogs as these lymph nodes are responsible for drainage of the oral cavity, and may have focal areas of hyperplasia that could cytologically mimic LSA. Additional information will be presented in the lecture on the utility of more recently available molecular diagnostics such as IHC, ICC, PARR, Flow Cytometry, etc.

Treatment

The last 20 years have shown significant advancements in the treatment of canine LSA, however, such advances have not been made in the treatment of feline LSA. The chemotherapeutic agents and protocols used in dogs are the same ones used in cats. These agents include cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisone, doxorubicin, methotrexate and L-asparaginase. The same approximate dosages for the above drugs can be used in dogs as well as cats except for doxorubicin. When cats are given doxorubicin at the originally described 30 mg/m² dose, they may experience significant

toxicity including myelosuppression, vomiting, diarrhea, and hepato-/nephrotoxicity. When cats are given doxorubicin at 1 mg/kg, the toxicity is quite manageable and typically self-limiting. In addition, the induction of adriamycin-associated cardiomyopathy that can be seen in dogs and humans is rarely if ever seen in cats. Combination chemotherapy protocols generally induce a complete remission in 70-85% of dogs and 50-60% of cats with LSA. Similarly, the median remission time for dogs is generally 6-11 months, whereas in cats it is 4-5 months. The median survival time of dogs on multi-agent chemotherapy protocols is 12-26 months, whereas in cats it is only 5-7 months. That said, the range of remission times and survival times in cats can be extremely wide, ranging from weeks to years. It is also important to note that it is extremely difficult to recommend precise treatments for the wide variety of clinical types of LSA seen in dogs and cats. Though studies have not specifically addressed this, this author believes that cats generally tolerate chemotherapy much better than dogs do. Other treatment modalities such as radiation therapy can be utilized in dogs and cats with mediastinal, nasal and CNS LSA, whereas surgery may be useful for dogs and cats with truly extra-nodal non-metastatic LSA (e.g. single small mycosis fungoides or epitheliotropic LSA lesion). A significant amount of time during the presentation will be allotted to discuss options and case scenarios for rescue chemotherapy with lymphoma.

Prognosis

The prognosis for dogs and cats with LSA is extremely variable. A large number of prognostic factors have been identified in the dog and these will be presented and ranked as much as possible at the oral discussion. The duration and response to therapy will depend on stage, location and FeLV status. Recent studies suggest that the most important negative prognostic factors are lack of response to therapy, FeLV +, whether the cat is sick or not, advanced stage and lack of doxorubicin in the chemotherapy protocol. This author and others have noted extremely variable remission and survival times for cats with alimentary LSA treated with a wide variety of chemotherapy protocols, ranging from leukeran and prednisone (a la Fondacaro) to typical aggressive multi-agent chemotherapy protocols. This author is presently investigating: 1) the potential for multiple sub-classifications of alimentary LSA in cats with hopeful prognostic and therapeutic significance, and 2) the use of immunohistochemical-based prognostic panels utilizing known prognostic factors (AgNOR, immunophenotype,

proliferation markers, drug resistance proteins, etc.) and the use of conditionally-licensed monoclonal antibodies for the treatment of canine B and T-cell lymphoma.

Canine LSA Prognostic Factors:

STRONG	MEDIUM	NEW
Substage	Stage	Proliferation Markers
Grade	Hypercalcemia	P-Glycoprotein
Immunophenotype	Gender	Pulmonary
Location	Weight	Steroid Use
Response to Rx	Hypoalbuminemia	Apoptotic Markers

Canine Lymphoma Chemotherapy & Response:

Therapy	Remission %	Median Rem. (Mos.)	Mortality from Therapy	Median Surv (Mos.)
None	0%	0	None	1-2
Pred only	33%	1	Very Low	2
COP or Adria	60-77%	4-6	Low	6-8
CVT-X or CHOP	80-82%	5-8	Low	8-11
ACOPA I & II	75-88%	8-9	Medium	N/A
VELCAP-S	68-87%	9	Medium	N/A
VELCAP-L	69%	13	Medium	N/A
UW-Madison (2 yr.)	82-85%	8.5	Low	11-12
UW-Madison (6 mo.)	91-94%	9	Low	13

References

1. Cotter SM. Treatment of lymphoma and leukemia with COP. II. Treatment of cats. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc* 1983;19:166-72.
2. Jeglum KA, Whereat A, Young K. Chemotherapy of lymphoma in 75 cats. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1987;190:174-8.
3. Elmslie RE, Ogilvie GK, Gillette EL, et al. Radiotherapy with and without chemotherapy for localized lymphoma in 10 cats. *Vet Radiol* 1991;32:277-80.
4. Vail DM, Moore AS, Ogilvie GK, Volk LM. Feline Lymphoma (145 Cases): Proliferation Indices, Cluster of Differentiation 3 Immunoreactivity, and Their Association with Prognosis in 90 Cats. *J Vet Intern Med* 1998;12:349-54.
5. Zwahlen CH, Lucroy MD, Kraegel SA, Madewell BR. Results of Chemotherapy for Cats with Alimentary Malignant Lymphoma: 21 Cases (1993-1997). *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1998;213:1144-49.
6. Chun R, Garrett LD, Vail DM. Evaluation of a high-dose chemotherapy protocol with no maintenance therapy for dogs with lymphoma. *J Vet Intern Med* 2000;14:120-124.
7. Moore AS, Cotter SM, Rand WM, Wood CA et al. Evaluation of a discontinuous treatment protocol (VELCAP-S) for canine lymphoma. *J Vet Intern Med* 2001;15:348-354.
8. Kiupel M, Teske E, Bostock D. Prognostic factors for treated canine malignant lymphoma. *Vet Pathol* 1999;36:292-300.
9. Dobson JM, Blackwood LB, McInnes EF, et al. Prognostic variables in canine multicentric lymphosarcoma. *J Small Anim Pract* 2001;42:377-384.
10. MacEwen EG, Brown NO, Patnaik AK, et al. Cyclic combination chemotherapy of canine lymphosarcoma. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1981;178:1178-1181.
11. MacEwen EG, Hayes AA, Matus RE, et al. Evaluation of prognostic factors for advanced multicentric lymphosarcoma in the dog: 147 cases. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1987;190:564-568.
12. Fan TM. Lymphoma Update. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract*. 2003 May;33(3):455-71.
13. Garrett LD, Thamm DH, Chun R, Dudley R, Vail DM. Evaluation of a 6-month chemotherapy protocol with no maintenance therapy for dogs with lymphoma. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2002 Nov-Dec;16(6):704-9.
14. Ettinger SN. Principles of treatment for canine lymphoma. *Clin Tech Small Anim Pract*. 2003 May;18(2):92-7.
15. Ettinger SN. Principles of treatment for feline lymphoma. *Clin Tech Small Anim Pract*. 2003 May;18(2):98-102.
16. Williams LE, Rassnick KM, Power HT, et al. CCNU in the treatment of canine epitheliotropic lymphoma. *J Vet Intern Med* 2006;20(1):136-143.
17. Frimberger AE, Moore AS, Rassnick KM, et al. A combination chemotherapy protocol with dose intensification and autologous bone marrow transplant (VELCAP-HDC) for canine lymphoma. *J Vet Intern Med* 2006;20(2):355-364.
18. Lana SE, Jackson TL, Burnett RC, et al. Utility of polymerase chain reaction for analysis of antigen receptor rearrangement in staging and predicting prognosis in dogs with lymphoma. *J Vet Intern Med* 2006;20(2):329-334.
19. Simon D, Moreno SN et al. Efficacy of a continuous, multiagent chemotherapeutic protocol versus a short-term single-agent protocol in dogs with lymphoma. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2008 Mar 15;232(6):879-85.
20. Flory AB, Rassnick KM, Al-Sarraf R, Bailey DB, Balkman CE, Kiselow MA, Autio K. Combination of CCNU and DTIC chemotherapy for treatment of resistant lymphoma in dogs. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2008 Jan-Feb;22(1):164-71.
21. Hosoya K, Kisseberth WC, Lord LK, Alvarez FJ, Lara-Garcia A, Kosarek CE, London CA, Couto CG. Comparison of COAP and UW-19 protocols for dogs with multicentric lymphoma. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2007 Nov-Dec;21(6):1355-63.
22. Sorenmo KU, Harwood LP, King LG, Drobatz KJ. Case-control study to evaluate risk factors for the development of sepsis (neutropenia and fever) in dogs receiving chemotherapy. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2010 Mar 15;236(6):650-6.
23. Cook AK, Wright ZM, Suchodolski JS, Brown MR, Steiner JM. Prevalence and prognostic impact of hypocobalaminemia in dogs with lymphoma. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2009 Dec 15;235(12):1437-41.
24. Chun R. Lymphoma: which chemotherapy protocol and why? *Top Companion Anim Med*. 2009 Aug;24(3):157-62.
25. Avery A. Molecular diagnostics of hematologic malignancies. *Top Companion Anim Med*. 2009 Aug;24(3):144-50.
26. Saba CF, Hafeman SD, Vail DM, Thamm DH. Combination chemotherapy with continuous L-asparaginase, lomustine, and prednisone for relapsed canine lymphoma. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2009 Sep-Oct;23(5):1058-63. Epub 2009 Aug 11.
27. Brodsky EM, Maudlin GN, Lachowicz JL, Post GS. Asparaginase and MOPP treatment of dogs with lymphoma. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2009 May-Jun;23(3):578-84.
28. Lurie DM, Gordon IK, Théon AP, Rodriguez CO, Suter SE, Kent MS. Sequential low-dose rate half-body irradiation and chemotherapy for the treatment of canine multicentric lymphoma. *Vet Comp Oncol*. 2009 Mar;7(1):38-44.

COMPANION ANIMAL

ONCOLOGY

29. Northrup NC, Gieger TL, Kosarek CE, Saba CF, LeRoy BE, Wall TM, Hume KR, Childress MO, Keys DA. Mechlorethamine, procarbazine and prednisone for the treatment of resistant lymphoma in dogs. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2009 Sep-Oct;23(5):1064-70. Epub 2009 Jul 14.
30. Rassnick KM, Moore AS, Collister KE, Northrup NC, Kristal O, Chretien JD, Bailey DB. Efficacy of combination chemotherapy for treatment of gastrointestinal lymphoma in dogs. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2009 Mar-Apr;23(2):317-22. Epub 2009 Feb 2.
31. Pastor M, Chalvet-Monfray K, Marchal T, Keck G, Magnol JP, Fournel-Fleury C, Ponce F. Genetic and environmental risk indicators in canine non-Hodgkin's lymphomas: breed associations and geographic distribution of 608 cases diagnosed throughout France over 1 year. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2009 Mar-Apr;23(2):301-10. Epub 2009 Feb 3.
32. Elliott JW, Cripps P, Blackwood L. Thymidine kinase assay in canine lymphoma. *Vet Comp Oncol.* 2011 Dec 8. [Epub ahead of print]
33. Takashima-Uebelhoeer BB, Barber LG, Zagarins SE, Procter-Gray E, Gollenberg AL, Moore AS, Bertone-Johnson ER. Household chemical exposures and the risk of canine malignant lymphoma, a model for human non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Environ Res.* 2012 Jan 3. [Epub ahead of print]
34. Krugman L, Bryan JN, Mealey KL, Chen A. Vincristine-induced central neurotoxicity in a collie homozygous for the ABCB1Δ mutation. *J Small Anim Pract.* 2011 Nov 28. [Epub ahead of print]
35. Dank G, Rassnick KM, Kristal O, Rodriguez CO, Clifford CA, Ward R, Mallett CL, Gieger T, Segev G. Clinical characteristics, treatment, and outcome of dogs with presumed primary hepatic lymphoma: 18 cases (1992-2008). *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2011 Oct 1;239(7):966-71.
36. Sorenmo KU, Krick E, Coughlin CM, Overley B, Gregor TP, Vonderheide RH, Mason NJ. CD40-activated B cell cancer vaccine improves second clinical remission and survival in privately owned dogs with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *PLoS One.* 2011;6(8):e24167.
37. Fahey CE, Milner RJ, Barabas K, Lurie D, Kow K, Parfitt S, Lyles S, Clemente M. Evaluation of the University of Florida lomustine, vincristine, procarbazine, and prednisone chemotherapy protocol for the treatment of relapsed lymphoma in dogs: 33 cases (2003-2009). *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2011 Jul 15;239(2):209-15.
38. Scott KD, Zoran DL, Mansell J, Norby B, Willard MD. Utility of endoscopic biopsies of the duodenum and ileum for diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease and small cell lymphoma in cats. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2011 Nov-Dec;25(6):1253-7.
39. Avery PR, Burton J, Bromberek JL, Seelig DM, Elmslie R, Correa S, Ehrhart EJ, Morley PS, Avery AC. Flow Cytometric Characterization and Clinical Outcome of CD4+ T-Cell Lymphoma in Dogs: 67 Cases. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2014 Mar;28(2):538-46.
40. Parsons-Doherty M, Poirier VJ, Monteith G. The efficacy and adverse event profile of dexamethasone, melphalan, actinomycin D, and cytosine arabinoside (DMAC) chemotherapy in relapsed canine lymphoma. *Can Vet J.* 2014 Feb;55(2):175-80.
41. Thalheim L, Williams LE, Borst LB, Fogle JE, Suter SE. Lymphoma immunophenotype of dogs determined by immunohistochemistry, flow cytometry, and polymerase chain reaction for antigen receptor rearrangements. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2013 Nov-Dec;27(6):1509-16.
42. Norsworthy GD, Scot Estep J, Kiupel M, Olson JC, Gassler LN. Diagnosis of chronic small bowel disease in cats: 100 cases (2008-2012). *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2013 Nov 15;243(10):1455-61.
43. Daniaux LA, Laurensen MP, Marks SL, Moore PF, Taylor SL, Chen RX, Zwingenberger AL. Ultrasonographic thickening of the muscularis propria in feline small intestinal small cell T-cell lymphoma and inflammatory bowel disease. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2014 Feb;16(2):89-98.
44. Krick EL, Cohen RB, Gregor TP, Salah PC, Sorenmo KU. Prospective clinical trial to compare vincristine and vinblastine in a COP-based protocol for lymphoma in cats. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2013 Jan-Feb;27(1):134-40.
45. Zandvliet M, Rutteman GR, Teske E. Prednisolone inclusion in a first-line multidrug cytostatic protocol for the treatment of canine lymphoma does not affect therapy results. *Vet J.* 2013 Sep;197(3):656-61.
46. Teske E, van Lankveld AJ, Rutteman GR. Intraperitoneal antineoplastic drug delivery: experience with a cyclophosphamide, vincristine and prednisolone protocol in cats with malignant lymphoma. *Vet Comp Oncol.* 2014 Mar;12(1):37-46.