



Philip J. Bergman
DVM, MS, PhD; Diplomate
ACVIM, Oncology Director,
Clinical Studies – VCA
Antech Oncologist

Katonah-Bedford Veterinary
Center

Philip.Bergman@vca.com

OF MICE & MEN (AND DOGS!): XENOGENEIC DNA VACCINES FOR THE TREATMENT OF

INTRODUCTION - Canine malignant melanoma (CMM) of the oral cavity, nail bed, foot pad and mucocutaneous junction is a spontaneously occurring, highly aggressive and frequently metastatic neoplasm. CMM is a relatively common diagnosis representing ~ 4% of all canine tumors and it is the most common oral tumor in the dog. CMM and advanced human melanoma (HM) are diseases that are initially treated with aggressive local therapies including surgery and/or fractionated radiation therapy; however, systemic metastatic disease is a common sequela. Based on these similarities, CMM appears to be a good clinical model for evaluating new treatments for advanced HM. Canine patients with advanced disease (WHO stage II, III or IV) have a reported median survival time of 1-5 months with standardized therapies. A combination of hypo-fractionated radiation therapy and chemotherapy have a reported median survival time of one year in stage I oral CMM. Human patients with deep AJCC stage II or stage III disease (locally advanced or regional lymph node involvement) have at least a 50% chance of recurrence after surgical resection; patients with stage IV melanoma (distant metastases) have a median survival of less than ten months and most of these patients eventually die of melanoma. Standard systemic therapy is dacarbazine chemotherapy in HM, and carboplatin chemotherapy in CMM. Unfortunately, response rates to chemotherapy in humans or dogs with advanced melanoma range from 8-28% with little evidence that treatment improves survival. It is easily evident that new approaches to this disease are desperately needed and multiple methodologies have been reported to date.

Active immunotherapy in the form of vaccines represents one potential therapeutic strategy for melanoma. The advent of DNA vaccination circumvents some of the previously encountered hurdles in vaccine development. DNA is relatively inexpensive and simple to purify in large quantity. The antigen of interest is cloned into a bacterial expression plasmid with a constitutively active promoter. The plasmid is introduced into the skin or muscle with an intradermal or intramuscular injection. Once in the skin or muscle, professional antigen presenting cells, particularly dendritic cells, are able to present the transcribed and translated antigen in the proper context of

major histocompatibility complex and costimulatory molecules. The bacterial and plasmid DNA itself contains immunostimulatory sequences that may act as a potent immunological adjuvant in the immune response. In clinical trials for infectious disease, DNA immunization has been shown to be safe and effective in inducing immune responses to malaria and human immunodeficiency virus. Although DNA vaccines have induced immune responses to viral proteins, vaccinating against tissue specific self-proteins on cancer cells is clearly a more difficult problem. One way to induce immunity against a tissue specific differentiation antigen on cancer cells is to vaccinate with xenogeneic antigen or DNA that is homologous to the cancer antigen. It has been shown that vaccination of mice with DNA encoding cancer differentiation antigens is ineffective when self-DNA is used, but tumor immunity can be induced by orthologous DNA from another species.

We have chosen to target defined melanoma differentiation antigens of the tyrosinase family. Tyrosinase is a melanosomal glycoprotein, essential in melanin synthesis. The full length human tyrosinase gene was shown to consist of five exons and was localized to chromosome 11q14-q21. Immunization with xenogeneic human DNA encoding tyrosinase family proteins induced antibodies and cytotoxic T cells against syngeneic B16 melanoma cells in C57BL/6 mice, but immunization with mouse tyrosinase-related DNA did not induce detectable immunity. In particular, xenogeneic DNA vaccination induced tumor protection from syngeneic melanoma challenge and autoimmune hypopigmentation. Thus, xenogeneic DNA vaccination could break tolerance against a self tumor differentiation antigen, inducing antibody, T-cell and anti-tumor responses.

RESULTS – The signalment of dogs have been similar to those in previously reported CMM studies. No toxicity was seen in any dogs receiving the aforementioned vaccines with the exception of minimal to mild pain responses at vaccination, one muGP75 dog experienced mild aural depigmentation, and one muTyr dog has experienced moderate foot pad vitiligo. Dogs with stage II-III loco-regionally controlled CMM across the xenogeneic vaccine studies have a Kaplan-Meier (KM) median survival time (MST) of > 2 years (median not yet reached). The KM MST for all stage II-IV dogs treated with huTyr, muGP75 and muTyr are 389, 153 and 224 days, respectively. The KM MST for stage II-IV dogs treated with 50mcg MuTyr, 100/400/800mcg HuGM-CSF or combination

MuTyr/HuGM-CSF are 242, 148 and > 900 (median not reached, 6/9 dogs still alive) days, respectively. For dogs on the Phase Ib MuTyr/HuGM-CSF/Combination trial, significant differences in MST were noted across pre-vaccination stage (stage IV MST = 99 days, stage III = 553 days and stage II > 401 days, $P < .001$). The results from dogs vaccinated with huTyr were published in 2003 (Bergman et al, Clin Cancer Res 2003).

DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIFIC ANTI-TYROSINASE HUMORAL IMMUNE RESPONSES -

We have investigated the humoral responses of dogs receiving HuTyr as a potential explanation for the long-term survivals seen in some of the dogs on this study. Utilizing standard ELISA with mammalian expressed purified human tyrosinase protein as the target of interest (kind gift of C Andreoni & JC Audonnet, Merial, Inc.), we have found 3/9 dogs with 2-5 fold post-vaccinal humoral responses compared to pre-immune sera. We have confirmed these findings utilizing a flow-cytometric-based assay of pre- and post-vaccinal sera in permeabilized human SK-MEL melanoma cells expressing endogenous human tyrosinase. Interestingly, the three dogs with post-vaccinal anti-HuTyr humoral responses are dogs with unexpected long-term tumor control (Liao et al, Cancer Immunity, 2006). Co-Investigators have also determined that normal dogs receiving the HuTyr-based melanoma vaccine develop Ag-specific IFN- γ T cells (Goubier et al, Vaccine, 2008).

CONCLUSIONS - The results of these trials demonstrate that xenogeneic DNA vaccination in CMM is: 1) safe, 2) develops specific anti-tyrosinase humoral and cell-mediated immune responses, 3) potentially therapeutic with particularly exciting results in stage II/III local-regional controlled disease and dogs receiving MuTyr/HuGM-CSF combination, and 4) an attractive candidate for further evaluation in an adjuvant, minimal residual disease Phase II setting for CMM. A safety and efficacy USDA licensure multi-institutional trial investigating HuTyr in dogs with locally controlled stage II/III oral melanoma was initiated in April, 2006. Human trials of xenogeneic tyrosinase DNA vaccination have recently initiated. In March 2007 and December 2009, we received conditional followed by full licensure (respectively) from the USDA for the canine melanoma vaccine. This represents the first US-government approved vaccine for the treatment of cancer across species.

In summary, CMM is a more clinically faithful therapeutic model for HM when compared to more traditional mouse systems as both human and canine diseases are chemoresistant, radioresistant, share similar metastatic phenotypes/site selectivity, and occur spontaneously in an outbred, immuno-competent scenario. In addition, this work also shows that veterinary cancer centers and human cancer centers can work productively together to benefit veterinary and human patients afflicted with cancer.

References

1. MacEwen EG, Patnaik AK, Harvey HJ, Hayes AA, Matus R. Canine oral melanoma: comparison of surgery versus surgery plus *Corynebacterium parvum*. Cancer Invest 1986; 4(5):397-402.
2. Harvey HJ, MacEwen EG, Braun D, Patnaik AK, Withrow SJ, Jongeward S. Prognostic criteria for dogs with oral melanoma. J Am Vet Med Assoc 1981; 178(6):580-582.
3. Bostock DE. Prognosis after surgical excision of canine melanomas. Vet Pathol 1979; 16(1):32-40.
4. Ramos-Vara JA, Beissenherz ME, Miller MA, Johnson GC, Pace LW, Fard A et al. Retrospective study of 338 canine oral melanomas with clinical, histologic, and immunohistochemical review of 129 cases. Vet Pathol 2000; 37(6):597-608.
5. Bostock DE. Prognosis after surgical excision of canine melanomas. Vet Pathol 1979; 16(1):32-40.
6. Harvey HJ, MacEwen EG, Braun D, Patnaik AK, Withrow SJ, Jongeward S. Prognostic criteria for dogs with oral melanoma. J Am Vet Med Assoc 1981; 178(6):580-582.
7. Freeman KP, Hahn KA, Harris FD, King GK. Treatment of dogs with oral melanoma by hypofractionated radiation therapy and platinum-based chemotherapy (1987-1997). J Vet Intern Med 2003; 17(1):96-101.
8. Wolchok JD, Livingston PO. Vaccines for melanoma: translating basic immunology into new therapies. Lancet Oncol 2001; 2(4):205-211.
9. Rassnick KM, Ruslander DM, Cotter SM, Al-Sarraf R, Bruyette DS, Gamblin RM et al. Use of carboplatin for treatment of dogs with malignant melanoma: 27 cases (1989-2000). J Am Vet Med Assoc 2001; 218(9):1444-1448.
10. Proulx DR, Ruslander DM, Dodge RK, Hauck ML, Williams LE, Horn B et al. A retrospective analysis of 140 dogs with oral melanoma treated with external beam radiation. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 2003; 44(3):352-359.
11. Hogge GS, Burkholder JK, Culp J, Albertini MR, Dubielzig RR, Yang NS et al. Preclinical development of human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor-transfected melanoma cell vaccine using established canine cell lines and normal dogs. Cancer Gene Ther 1999; 6(1):26-36.

COMPANION ANIMAL

ONCOLOGY

12. Hogge GS, Burkholder JK, Culp J, Albertini MR, Dubielzig RR, Keller ET et al. Development of human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor-transfected tumor cell vaccines for the treatment of spontaneous canine cancer. *Hum Gene Ther* 1998; 9(13):1851-1861.
13. MacEwen EG, Kurzman ID, Vail DM, Dubielzig RR, Everlith K, Madewell BR et al. Adjuvant therapy for melanoma in dogs: results of randomized clinical trials using surgery, liposome-encapsulated muramyl tripeptide, and granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor. *Clin Cancer Res* 1999; 5(12):4249-4258.
14. Quintin-Colonna F, Devauchelle P, Fradelizi D, Mourot B, Faure T, Kourilsky P et al. Gene therapy of spontaneous canine melanoma and feline fibrosarcoma by intratumoral administration of histoincompatible cells expressing human interleukin-2. *Gene Ther* 1996; 3(12):1104-1112.
15. Wang R, Doolan DL, Le TP, Hedstrom RC, Coonan KM, Charoenvit Y et al. Induction of antigen-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes in humans by a malaria DNA vaccine. *Science* 1998; 282(5388):476-480.
16. Edgeworth RL, San JH, Rosenzweig JA, Nguyen NL, Boyer JD, Ugen KE. Vaccine development against HIV-1: current perspectives and future directions. *Immunol Res* 2002; 25(1):53-74.
17. Weber LW, Bowne WB, Wolchok JD, Srinivasan R, Qin J, Moroi Y et al. Tumor immunity and autoimmunity induced by immunization with homologous DNA. *J Clin Invest* 1998; 102(6):1258-1264.
18. Wolchok JD, Livingston PO, Houghton AN. Vaccines and other adjuvant therapies for melanoma. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am* 1998; 12(4):835-48, vii.
19. Bouchard B, Fuller BB, Vijayasaradhi S, Houghton AN. Induction of pigmentation in mouse fibroblasts by expression of human tyrosinase cDNA. *J Exp Med* 1989; 169(6):2029-2042.
20. Ross HM, Weber LW, Wang S, Piskun G, Dyllal R, Song P et al. Priming for T-cell-mediated rejection of established tumors by cutaneous DNA immunization. *Clin Cancer Res* 1997; 3(12 Pt 1):2191-2196.
21. Weber LW, Bowne WB, Wolchok JD, Srinivasan R, Qin J, Moroi Y et al. Tumor immunity and autoimmunity induced by immunization with homologous DNA. *J Clin Invest* 1998; 102(6):1258-1264.
22. Perales MA, Blachere NE, Engelhorn ME, Ferrone CR, Gold JS, Gregor PD et al. Strategies to overcome immune ignorance and tolerance. *Semin Cancer Biol* 2002; 12(1):63-71.
23. Bergman PJ, McKnight J, Novosad A, Charney S, Farrelly J, Craft D et al. Long-term survival of dogs with advanced malignant melanoma after DNA vaccination with xenogeneic human tyrosinase: a phase I trial. *Clin Cancer Res* 2003; 9(4):1284-1290.
24. Bergman PJ, Camps-Palau MA, McKnight JA, et al. Development of a xenogeneic DNA vaccine program for canine malignant melanoma at the Animal Medical Center. *Vaccine* 2006; 24(21):4582-5.
25. Liao JC, Gregor P, Wolchok JD et al. Vaccination with human tyrosinase DNA induces antibody responses in dogs with advanced melanoma. *Cancer Immun* 2006; 6:8.
26. Goubier A, Fuhrmann L, Forest L et al. Superiority of needle-free transdermal plasmid delivery for the induction of antigen-specific IFN γ T cell responses in the dog. *Vaccine*. 2008 Apr 24;26(18):2186-90.
27. Wolchok JD, Yuan J, Houghton AN et al. Safety and immunogenicity of tyrosinase DNA vaccines in patients with melanoma. *Mol Ther*. 2007 Nov;15(11):2044-50. Epub 2007 Aug 28.
28. Yuan J, Ku GY, Gallardo HF et al. Safety and immunogenicity of a human and mouse gp100 DNA vaccine in a phase I trial of patients with melanoma. *Cancer Immun*. 2009 Jun 5;9:5.
29. Bergman PJ & Wolchok JD. Of Mice and Men (and Dogs): development of a xenogeneic DNA vaccine for canine oral malignant melanoma. *Cancer Therapy* 2008, 6:817-826.
30. Perales MA, Yuan J, Powel S et al. Phase I/II study of GM-CSF DNA as an adjuvant for a multipptide cancer vaccine in patients with advanced melanoma. *Mol Ther*. 2008 Dec;16(12):2022-9. Epub 2008 Sep 16.
31. Grosenbaugh DA, Leard AT, Bergman PJ, Klein MK, Meleo K, Susaneck S, Hess PR, Jankowski MK, Jones PD, Leibman NF, Johnson MH, Kurzman ID, Wolchok JD. Safety and efficacy of a xenogeneic DNA vaccine encoding for human tyrosinase as adjunctive treatment for oral malignant melanoma in dogs following surgical excision of the primary tumor. *Am J Vet Res*. 2011 Dec;72(12):1631-8.
32. Manley CA, Leibman NF, Wolchok JD, Rivière IC, Bartido S, Craft DM, Bergman PJ. Xenogeneic murine tyrosinase DNA vaccine for malignant melanoma of the digit of dogs. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2011 Jan-Feb;25(1):94-9.
33. Smedley RC, Spangler WL, Esplin DG, Kitchell BE, Bergman PJ, Ho HY, Bergin IL, Kiupel M. Prognostic markers for canine melanocytic neoplasms: a comparative review of the literature and goals for future investigation. *Vet Pathol*. 2011 Jan;48(1):54-72. Review.
34. Simpson RM, Bastian BC, Michael HT et al. Sporadic naturally occurring melanoma in dogs as a preclinical model for human melanoma. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res*. 2014 Jan;27(1):37-47.
35. Gillard M, Cadieu E, De Brito C, Abadie J, Vergier B, Devauchelle P, Degorce F, Dréano S, Primot A, Dorso L, Lagadic M, Galibert F, Hédan B, Galibert MD, André C. Naturally occurring melanomas in dogs as models for non-UV pathways of human melanomas. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res*. 2014 Jan;27(1):90-102.
36. Ottnod JM, Smedley RC, Walshaw R, Hauptman JG, Kiupel M, Obradovich JE. A retrospective analysis of the efficacy of Oncept vaccine for the adjunct treatment of canine oral malignant melanoma. *Vet Comp Oncol*. 2013 Sep;11(3):219-29.
37. Herzog A, Buchholz J, Ruess-Melzer K, Lang J, Kaser-Hotz B. Concurrent irradiation and DNA tumor vaccination in canine oral malignant melanoma: a pilot study. *Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd*. 2013 Feb;155(2):135-42.

COMPANION ANIMAL

ONCOLOGY

38. Finocchiaro LM, Glikin GC. Cytokine-enhanced vaccine and suicide gene therapy as surgery adjuvant treatments for spontaneous canine melanoma: 9 years of follow-up. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 2012 Dec;19(12):852-61.
39. Brockley LK, Cooper MA, Bennett PF. Malignant melanoma in 63 dogs (2001-2011): the effect of carboplatin chemotherapy on survival. *N Z Vet J.* 2013 Jan;61(1):25-31.
40. Dank G, Rassnick KM, Sokolovsky Y, Garrett LD, Post GS, Kitchell BE, Sellon RK, Kleiter M, Northrup N, Segev G. Use of adjuvant carboplatin for treatment of dogs with oral malignant melanoma following surgical excision. *Vet Comp Oncol.* 2014 Mar;12(1):78-84.
41. Gomes J, Queiroga FL, Prada J, Pires I. Study of c-kit immunoexpression in canine cutaneous melanocytic tumors. *Melanoma Res.* 2012 Jun;22(3):195-201.
42. Phillips JC, Lembcke LM, Noltenius CE, Newman SJ, Blackford JT, Grosenbaugh DA, Leard AT. Evaluation of tyrosinase expression in canine and equine melanocytic tumors. *Am J Vet Res.* 2012 Feb;73(2):272-8.